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# Purpose

The report shows the result of the POSIX Write Order test in different operating systems using different file systems. Section 2 shows the requirements of the test. Section 3 shows the implementation design of the test. Section 4 shows the results of running the test in several operating systems using different file systems. The last section is a summary of the results.

# Requirements

The write order test should verify that the write order is strictly consistent. The SWMR feature requires that the order of write is strictly consistent. The SWMR updates to the data structures in the file are essentially implementing a "lock-free" or "wait-free" algorithm in updating the data structure on disk.  See: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Non-blocking_synchronization>, for example.  In those algorithms, the order of updates to the data structure is critical and if it doesn't occur correctly, the reader can get inconsistent results.

"Strict consistency in computer science is the most stringent consistency model. It says that a read operation has to return the result of the latest write operation which occurred on that data item."-- (<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linearizability#Definition_of_linearizability>). This is an alternative form of what POSIX write requires that after a write operation has returned success, all reads issued afterward should get the same data the write has written.

# Design of Implementation

The test, which is named as twriteorder, simulates what SWMR does by writing chained blocks and verifying that they can be read back correctly. There is a writer process and multiple reader processes. The file is composed of two or more 2KB partitions[[1]](#footnote-1). The writer writes 1 chained block, each of 1KB big, in each partition after the first partition.

Each chained block has this structure:

* Byte 0-3: offset address of its child block. The last child uses 0 as NULL.
* Byte 4-1023: some artificial data.
* The child block address of Block 1 is NULL (0).
* The child block address of Block 2 is the offset address of Block 1.
* The child block address of Block n is the offset address of Block n-1.

After all n blocks are written, the offset address of Block n is written at the offset 0 of the first partition (Block 1). Therefore, by the time the offset address of Block n is written at this position, all n chain-linked blocks have been written.

The other reader processes will try to read the address value at the offset 0. The value is NULL (0) initially. When it changes to non-zero, it signifies the writer process has written all the chain-linked blocks and they are ready for the reader processes to access.

If the system, in which the writer and reader processes run, adheres to the order of write, the readers will always get all chain-linked blocks correctly. If the order of write is not maintained, some reader processes may found unexpected block data.

# Results

The POSIX Write Order test was run in various combinations of operating systems using different kinds of file systems. The combinations include the following:

* Linux host and local file system
* Linux host and NFS file system
* AIX hosts and GPFS file system
* Linus hosts and GPFS file system
* Linux cluster and Lustre file system

## Linux hosts with local file system

The machines have ext3 and ext4 local file systems. The test with both write and reader ran in the same host, passed all runs up to 1,000,000 linked blocks, resulting in datafiles ~2GB big.

## Linux hosts with NFS file system

The machines run the Linux operating system with one using CentOS 5 and the other using CentOS 6. Both hosts access a common NFS file system served by a third party NFS file server, therefore all file accesses are via the network. The test is run with the writer and the reader running in separated hosts. All tests passed with up to 1,000,000 linked blocks, resulting in datafiles ~2GB big. There was a twist—the first run when 500,000 and 1,000,000 linked blocks were used, the reader would encounter failure. But all subsequent runs with the same number of linked blocks would pass without failure. No explanation of this behavior is available yet.

## AIX hosts with GPFS

The machines use the AIX 5.3 operating system and are as a box of “blades”. All blades access a common GPFS file system. The test, including both writer and reader, running in the same or separated “blades”, passed all runs up to 1,000,000 linked blocks, resulting in datafiles ~2GB big.

A side note: when in separated blades, the write time is 7.6 seconds but the reader time is 69 seconds. The write time seems small for the data file size. It is speculated that the GPFS system may be doing some “kernel” buffering to make the write time appear smaller.

## Linux hosts with GPFS

The AIX system mentioned above, also has Linux hosts that access the same GPFS file system. The Linux hosts are 64bits system. The POSIX write order test is run in separated Linux machines using the same GPFS file system. The test, including both write and reader, in the same or separated hosts, passed all tests up to 1,000,000 linked blocks which resulted in approximately 2GB size file.

A side note: the writer took 8.5 sec to write the 2GB file but the reader took 83 seconds to read them. Write speed is 10 times faster than read speed—it is speculate that kernel memory is in play here. Nevertheless, IBM GPFS adheres to the write order correctly.

## Linux Cluster with Lustre file system

The POSIX write order test is run in a remote Linux Cluster with a Lustre file system. The test passed with small size files such as 200MB in size. But when larger number of linked blocks (e.g. –n 500000 => 500,000 linked blocks resulting in file size about 900MB) and the writer and reader were in separated machines, the reader detected errors in data it read back. The exact cause of the failure is not known yet but it fails for bigger file sizes across separated machines.

# Summary

This is a summary of the test results in different system using different the GPFS or Lustre file system.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| System | Same host/machine | Separated hosts/machines |
| Linux with local file system | Passed in all file sizes up to 2GB. | Not applicable |
| Linux with NFS file system | Not applicable | Passed in all file sizes up to 2GB. |
| AIX with GPFS | Passed in all file sizes up to 2GB. | Passed in all file sizes up to 2GB. |
| Linux with GPFS | Passed in all file sizes up to 2GB. | Passed in all file sizes up to 2GB. |
| Linux with Lustre | Not available | Passed in small file sizes but failed with large files (e.g., 900MB) |

1. A list of one linked block is a trivial case and is excluded in this test. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)